Agenda Item 2

Review of New Information on Threats to Small Cetaceans (reporting cycle 2017 only)

Document Inf.2.a

2017 Annual National Report: Finland

Action Requested

Take note

Submitted by

Finland



Secretariat's Note

The	Rules	of	Procedure	adopted	at the	ASCOBAN	S 8 th	Meeting	of	Parties	remain	in	force
until	and ur	nles	s an amen	dment is	called	for and add	pted						

NATIONAL REPORTING FORMAT FOR ASCOBANS

1ST JANUARY – 31ST DECEMBER 2017

As outlined in ASCOBANS <u>RESOLUTION 8.1</u> on National Reporting, the national reports covering the year 2017 will cover the following sections of the Annex to the Resolution, in addition to the standard sections I and VII:

- bycatch (section II A1)
- resource depletion (section II A2)
- marine debris (section II C9)
- surveys and research (section III)
- use of bycatches and strandings (section IV).

The reports submitted will inform discussions at the 24th Meeting of the Advisory Committee, which will be held in September 2018 and will tailor its agenda to focus on the topics selected for this national report.

Date: Click or tap to enter a date.					
SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION					
Party Information	Pinton d				
A. Name of Party	Finland Pagina Plankatt				
B. Details of National Coordinator (Focal Point) for ASCOBANS	Penina Blankett				
(I ocal I ollit) for Accobatto	Ministerial Adviser				
	Ministry of the Environment				
	P.O.Box 35, 00023 FINNISH GOVERNMENT				
	+358 295 250 058				
	Penina.blankett@ym.fi				
C. Details of Delegates (contributors to the report) (For each, mention Name, Function, Organization, Postal Address, Telephone, Email)	Olli Loisa Project Manager Turku University of Applied Sciences Lemminkäisenkatu 30, 20520 TURKU +358 50 598 5743 olli.loisa@turkuamk.fi (Marine Debris) Outi Setälä, Senior Researcher, Finnish Environment Institute, Mechelininkatu 34a, FI-00260 Helsinki, P.O.Box 140 Helsinki +358 295 251 635 outi.setala@ymparisto.fi				
D. List of relevant national institutions (List of national authorities, organizations, research centres and rescue centres active in the field of study and conservation of cetaceans. For each one mention the name, postal address, contact person, telephone and email address)	Same as B & C above				
E. List of relevant fisheries stakeholders in your country (List of fisheries associations and cooperatives, research centres, relevant private sector entities and other organizations involved in fisheries in waters frequented by cetaceans. For each one mention the name, postal address, contact person, telephone and email address)	Heikki Lehtinen; Ministerial Adviser, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry Hallituskatu 3 A, Helsinki +358 295 162 433 Heikki.lehtinen@mmm.fi				
SECTION II: HABITAT CONSERVATION AND MANAGETACEANS)	GEMENT (THREATS AND PRESSURES ON				
A. <u>Fisheries-related Threats</u>1. Bycatch					
	□Dedicated observer schemes %				

a)	How is the magnitude of the threat	□Fisheries observers %			
	assessed/monitored? (Include percentage where applicable in the	□Remot	%		
	adjoining column)	□Strand	ings	%	
	, ,	⊠None		%	
b)	In the last year, which species of small cetaceans were recorded as bycatch? (Include numbers) Please provide the following information where available: i. Species ii. Number of bycaught animals iii. Gear type iv. ICES area v. Overall sampling effort	None			
c)	In the last year, were there any notable incidents? <i>E.g. mass bycatch</i>	□ Yes	If you answer is provide details.	yes, please	
	incidents, unusual species bycatch etc.	⊠ No	Click or tap here to enter	er tevt	
	eic.		Click of tap here to enti-	er text.	
d)	Are there any mitigation measures in place?	□ Yes	If you answer is provide details.	yes, please	
	pidoo.	⊠ No	Click or tap here to ente	er text.	
е)	If yes, what mitigation measures are being used and where? E.g. Acoustic deterrent devices, seasonal closures, gear modifications etc.	None			
f)	Other relevant information. E.g. provide links to OSPAR reports (FCS and GES being covered already so no need to duplicate), annual bycatch reports (for more detailed information) etc.	None			
g)	Relevant new research/work/collaboration on bycatch within the Agreement Area.	None			
2.	Resource Depletion				

,	Based on the latest stock assessments (carried out in advance of the December Council negotiations), are there any notable depletions of fish species which would be a concern for cetaceans?	□Yes ⊠No	If your answer is yes, please provide details: Click or tap here to enter text.	
b)	In Parties' national waters, where are these depletions occurring? By ICES Area	Click or t	ap here to enter text.	
с)	What measures are being taken to manage pressures on depleted fish stocks, including relevant regulations/guidelines? E.g. decrease in TAC, recovery plan etc.	Click or t	ap here to enter text.	
d)	Is there any evidence within your national waters that resource depletion may be impacting cetaceans (e.g. evidence of starvation)?	□Yes ⊠No	If your answer is yes, please provide details: Click or tap here to enter text.	
е)	Are there any national surveys which evaluate cetacean body condition?	□Yes ⊠No	If your answer is yes, please provide details: Click or tap here to enter text.	
f)	Relevant new research/work/collaboration	Click or t	ap here to enter text.	
	Habitat Change and Degradation (inc Marine Debris	I. potentia	al physical impacts)	
	 a) What monitoring is in place to assess the level of marine debris? E.g. type of litter (size, shape, material) amount, impacts on species, geographical location etc. 1) Beach litter monitoring (macrolitte assessed, and if possible also the act items identified (bottles, cups, etc.) Monitoring covers roughly the Finnish confrom the Gulf of Finland to Bothnian Sea beaches). Numbers given per 100m beac 2) Microlitter monitoring (sea surface microlitte and sediment). Water surface microlitte collected with a manta trawl (>330m 			
		collected with a manta trawl (>330µm). Sampling technique for sediment samples is still under testing, but as a result particles >100µm will be assessed. Litter will be categorized at least to fragments and fibres, and to synthetic and non-synthetic litter.		

		3) N entangle	lo monitoring on impacts yet (e.g. ement).	
b)	What parameters are provided through this monitoring?	1) Number of macrolitter /100m beach (size of litter until 2017 >2.5cm, will be changed to >5mm for from 2018 on, after discussions with other HELCOM countries, Categorizartion follows modified UNEL (MARLIN) method. 2) Microlitter /m3 (water)		
		micronti	ter/kgww (sediment)	
c)	Are these data publicly available? Y/N If so, please provide a link.	□Yes	Link	
		⊠No	They will be in some point once there is an agreement where and how and by whom they will be stored. Beach litter data has been available through the Swedish NGO Håll Sverige Rent.	
d)	In the last year, what species of small cetaceans were found to have been impacted by marine debris?	None		
e)	Are there any mitigation measures in place? Y/N	⊠Yes □No	If yes, what mitigation measures are being used? E.g. changes in gear to prevent loss, entanglement response, adoption of measures to reduce land-based/boat-based source of marine debris.	
			Balticwide mitigation measures have been listed in HELCOM Marine Litter action plan and include both national, and regional measures. besides that the national MSFD –related mitigation measures are presently being drafted.	
f)	Other relevant information. E.g. link to OSPAR reports (FCS and	Click or t	tap here to enter text.	

	eing covered o duplicate)	l already so ı	70			
g) Releva resear marine	regardin on micro distribut biota, i removal method available	Finland has a lot of research going on regarding marine litter. Especially research on microplastics is very active. Both on the distribution of MPs in the environment and biota, behaviour of MPs in sediment, removal of MPs in SWTTPs and relevant method development. More information is available by contacting outi.setala@ymparisto.fi				
A. Biologica 1. Dedicated If additional spa maps separately	I Information I Surveys (a ce is require	n (per specie bundance a ed, please s	nd distribution in the interest of the interes	formation in	n a table in e	xcel. Attach
Region (map of survey area)	Project	Time Period	Method (e.g. line transect, Photo ID etc.)	Species	Abundance of animals (including confidence limits) if applicable	Link to project/ report/ publication
Finnish EEZ of Northern Baltic Proper and Åland Sea	Monitoring of harbour porpoise in Finnish waters	November 2016 - ongoing	Static acoustic monitoring (C-POD), 17 positions)	Phocoena phocoena	No absolute numbers available, acoustic detection rates similar to SAMBAH results	In prep.
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.
B. Other rele	evant monito	ring/survey	activities			
1. Is there a programm Conservat your water (provides and/or life information)	□Yes ⊠No		se provide de here to enter			

	14/// 2.22	Approac	h:			
	Within MPAs	□Photo-I				
		☐Line tra	insect survevs			
			□Line transect surveys □Passive Acoustic Monitoring			
		□Strandii	<u> </u>			
		Target S	·			
			ap here to enter text.			
		Approac				
	 Wider Seas 	□Photo-I				
			insect surveys			
			e Acoustic Monitoring			
			•			
		□Strandii	ngs			
		Target S	pecies:			
			a phocoena			
3.	Are any of these programmes	□Yes	If yes, please provide details:			
	carried out in collaboration with other Parties?	⊠No	Click or tap here to enter text.			
4.	Links to Relevant Outputs	Click or ta	ap here to enter text.			
C.	Life history parameters by ASCOB	ANS speci	es – if easier please submit			
	information in a table in Excel form	<u>nat.</u>	_			
1.	Age at sexual and physical maturity	□Yes	If yes, please provide links and det			
	, , ,	⊠No	where applicable:			
			Click or tap here to enter text.			
2.	Inter-birth intervals	□Yes	If yes, please provide links and det			
		⊠No	where applicable:			
			Click or tap here to enter text.			
3.	Calf and adult mortality rates	□Yes	If yes, please provide links and det			
		⊠No	where applicable:			
	D. C. L. C.		Click or tap here to enter text. If yes, please provide links and det			
4.	Potential reproductive span/capacity	□Yes	where applicable:			
		⊠No	Click or tap here to enter text.			
5.	Longevity	□Yes	If yes, please provide links and det			
٥.	Longevity	⊠No	where applicable:			
		MNO	Click or tap here to enter text.			
6	Diet	□Yes	If yes, please provide links and det			
0.	Biot	⊠No	where applicable:			
			Click or tap here to enter text.			
7.	Age and sex structure	□Yes	If yes, please provide links and det			
		⊠No	where applicable:			
			Click or tap here to enter text.			
8.	Other relevant factors	□Yes	If yes, please provide links and det			
		⊠No	where applicable:			
			Click or tap here to enter text.			

SECTION IV: USE OF BYCATCHES AND STRANDINGS					
A.	Stranding Network				
1.	Is there a national stranding network in place?	□Yes ⊠No	If yes, please provide details below: Click or tap here to enter text.		
2.	Please add the names and URLs of all national stranding/necropsy networks	Click or tap	here to enter text.		
3.	Does this cover the whole or part of the reporting country's coastline?	Click or tap	o here to enter text.		
4.	Are necropsies carried out to determine cause of death?	⊠Yes □No	If yes, please provide details below: Click or tap here to enter text.		
5.	Are any cases photographed, measured or sampled even if not collected for necropsy?	□Yes □No	If yes, please provide details below: Click or tap here to enter text.		
6.	Is there a database of strandings?	⊠Yes □No	If yes, please provide link to and details of responsible institutions:		
			Finnish historical data is included to HELCOM harbour porpoise database		
7.	Is the data available online or downloadable on request?	⊠Yes □No	If yes, please provide details below: See 6. above		
8.	ASCOBANS is currently developing a web-accessed database for marine mammals strandings and necropsy data (see AC23/Inf.9.1.a). Please indicate which national stranding network(s) you designate to become part of this international web-accessed database:	due to aln possible	no networks in action in Finnish area nost zero number of strandings. All future data from Finland can be to the planned database.		
9.	Please state whom to contact for integrating this stranding network into the ASCOBANS database (name, position, email, telephone)	Olli Loisa, Turku University of Applied Sciences, contact details in section 1, C			
B.	Parties Involved				
1.	Live-Stranding Responses Details (phone, email, website)	See sectio	n 1, B and C		
2.	Reporting of Carcasses Details (phone, email, website)	See sectio	n 1, B and C		
C.	Stranding numbers from reporting	year (2017)			

If additional space is required, please submit the following information in a table in excel, as an attachment with this form.

Species	Total number of stranding events	Total number of individuals (dead/alive)	Number necropsied	Most common cause of death	Other Causes of Death
Click or tap here	Click or tap	Click or tap	Click or tap	Click or tap	Click or tap
to enter text.	here to enter	here to enter	here to	here to enter	here to enter
	text.	text.	enter text.	text.	text.
Click or tap here	Click or tap	Click or tap	Click or tap	Click or tap	Click or tap
to enter text.	here to enter	here to enter	here to	here to enter	here to enter
	text.	text.	enter text.	text.	text.

D. New and Relevant Publications (Including new methods and any new projects using samples/outputs)

Click or tap here to enter text.

SECTION V: OTHER MATTERS					
A.	Other information or comments important for the Agreement	Click or tap here to enter text.			
В.	Difficulties in implementing the Agreement	Click or tap here to enter text.			