As outlined in ASCOBANS Resolution 8.1 on National Reporting, the national reports covering the year 2016 will cover the following Sections of the Annex to the Resolution:

- Section I
- Section II B3, B4, C8 and D15
- Section VII

The reports submitted will inform discussions at the 23rd Meeting of the Advisory Committee (5-7 September 2017, Le Conquet, France) and will tailor its agenda to focus on the topics selected for this national report.

Section I: General Information

Party Information

Name of Party
United Kingdom

National Coordinator (Focal Point) for ASCOBANS

Name: Catherine Bell
Function: Policy advisor
Organization: Defra
Postal Address: Noble House, 17 Smith Square, London, SW1P 3JR
Telephone: 0208 026 4342
Email: Catherine.bell@defra.gsi.gov.uk

Contributors to the report

JNCC, Cefas, MMO, Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute (AFBI) Northern Ireland, Scottish Government

Section II: Habitat Conservation and Management (threats and pressures on cetaceans)

B. Disturbance (including potential physical impacts)

3. Noise (impulsive and continuous/ambient)

3.1) To which noise registers/databases has your country contributed to date?
UK marine noise registry: The UK supplies impulsive noise information to the ICES Noise Registry and has a national registry called the UK Marine Noise Registry (https://mnr.jncc.gov.uk/). AFBI provide any applicable data to the JNCC impulsive noise registry. The UK Marine Noise Registry went live in August 2016 and is now collecting data on loud, low to mid frequency impulsive noise (between 10 Hz and 10 kHz) generated in UK seas to fulfil requirements under Descriptor 11 of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive.

Other, please provide details:

3.2) The perceived level of risk that underwater noise is posing to the favourable conservation status (FCS) of small cetaceans, i.e. is the pressure increasing, decreasing, staying the same or unknown:

The perceived level of risk is believed to be increasing, however, the level of risk to the favourable conservation status of small cetaceans will be assessed in the next Article 17 reporting round for 2013-2017 that will be published in 2019. The level of threat for the previous reporting (2007-2012) round can be found in the Article 17 report, which are available here: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-6564

3.3) Any notable instances/isues in the reporting period including providing information on planned or completed significant developments/activities, including the details of EIAs and monitoring in place before, during and after the project:

The status of UK offshore windfarms can be found at http://www.4coffshore.com/offshorewind/. There are eight windfarms currently under construction in the North Sea, Irish Sea and the English Channel. A further 33 are in the consenting process (have consent, pre-planning etc). To date, developers in the southern North Sea have contributed data and funds to the development of the DEPONS model as a condition of their consent.

Tidal array demonstration projects are underway at the Welsh Anglesey Skerries Tidal Array and Ramsey Sound. These projects will be scaled up in future. The European Marine Energy Centre (EMEC) based on the Orkney Islands, Scotland develops, tests and carries out research on wave and tidal devices.

3.4) How is the pressure being managed, including a list of relevant regulations / guidelines and the year of implementation (current and planned):

JNCC’s ‘guidelines for minimising the risk and injury to marine mammals from geophysical surveys’ were updated in 2017 and are available here: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/pdf/jncc_guidelines_seismicsurvey_apr2017.pdf.

The mitigation measures outlined in these guidelines have been adopted as part of the consenting regime for geophysical activities within the United Kingdom Continental Shelf (UKCS) to reduce the risk of deliberate injury to marine mammals. These guidelines were originally written with the oil and gas industry in mind, however since their conception, the use of geophysical technology by other industries in the marine environment has grown. Subsequently, any geophysical survey that has the potential to result in injury to marine mammals should apply the mitigation measures outlined in these guidelines (or an alternative as agreed with the relevant Regulator). Marine mammal mitigation protocols should be produced by the developer for any given project, mitigation may for example...
include the use of Marine Mammal Observers (MMO), soft-start or passive acoustic monitoring (PAM).

The EU Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC), the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC) and the Marine Act (Northern Ireland) 2013 form the means by which Northern Ireland can manage disturbance from noise. Within the territorial waters, the relevant competent authority (DAERA in Northern Ireland) is required to assess whether an activity will have a significant effect on a designated European site or species.

DAERA noise disturbance mitigation (during construction) follows the Marine Mammal Monitoring guidelines defined by the Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

3.5) List relevant new research/work/collaboration:

The Interim Population Consequences of Disturbance (iPCOD) model that will allow developers, scientists, and regulatory authorities to predict more accurately how offshore developments will affect five key species of mammals found in the UK. There are bottlenose dolphins, harbour porpoise, minke whale, harbour and grey seal (https://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/news/archive/2014/title,248538,en.php).


Offshore Renewables Joint Industry Programme (ORJIP) is a UK-wide collaborative programme of environmental research with the aim of reducing consenting risks for offshore wind and marine energy projects. More information is available from: http://www.orjip.org.uk/

The first assessment of UK noise levels was published November 2016 - “Underwater noise levels in UK waters” Nathan D. Merchant, Kate L. Brookes, Rebecca C. Faulkn, Anthony W. J. Bicknell, Brendan J. Godley & Matthew J. Witt; http://www.nature.com/articles/srep36942


The AFBI led Interreg VA COMPASS (Collaborative Oceanography and Monitoring for Protected Areas and SpecieS) project commenced in April 2017 and will run until December 2021. COMPASS aims to develop long-term monitoring strategies for highly mobile protected species such as marine mammals and salmonids, and provide essential infrastructure for baseline oceanographic and ambient noise monitoring.

A dedicated marine mammal work package as part of COMPASS will develop and implement a future-proof passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) program for marine mammals (cetaceans and pinnipeds) in relation to a cross-border (Scotland, Northern Ireland and the Republic Ireland) network of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs). In addition to species distribution, data collected via the COMPASS PAM network will be used to assess long-term changes in ambient noise levels within this cross-border region, in accordance with Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) Descriptor 11 (underwater noise) requirements. MSFD reporting across the proposed monitoring network will boost current efforts by CEFAS in setting up a UK wide long-term monitoring network for underwater noise as well as extend this into Irish waters.
3.6) Report on noise management for cumulative impact, including assessment of associated or coincidental activities, regulations and guidelines, seismic shot point densities and level of impact that was assessed and deemed acceptable:

In light of the recently submitted candidate Special Areas for Conservation (cSACs) for harbour porpoise, JNCC and SNCBs had discussions with regulators and stakeholders on noise management within the sites. Guidance on management for noise using spatial and temporal thresholds are being considered in line with the conservation objectives for the cSACs.


4. Ocean Energy

Wind Energy

4.1) Please enter one table per wind farm. See excel table

All information on UK windfarms can be found at http://www.4coffshore.com/offshorewind/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of wind farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First operational on (if in planning, then please enter foreseen grid connection date)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output in megawatts per turbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turbines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How were the individual wind turbines installed in the seabed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was scour protection added?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise mitigation during construction used (multiple ticks possible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If the wind farm is floating, how was it anchored?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Additional information (optional):
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**Wave Power**

4.2) Please enter one table per wave power installation. See excel table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of installation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First operational on (if in planning, then please enter foreseen grid connection date)</td>
<td>dd/mm/yy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output in megawatts per turbine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turbines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How is the installation anchored?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was scour protection added?</td>
<td>Yes/No/Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B. None in Scotland

**Tidal Energy**

4.3) Please enter one table per tidal energy installation. See excel table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of installation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First operational on (if in planning, then please enter foreseen grid connection date)</td>
<td>dd/mm/yy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output in megawatts per turbine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turbines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Floating/gravity/other, please specify:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collision mitigation</td>
<td>No/ Yes, please specify:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tidal lagoon/barrage**
4.4) Please enter one table per tidal lagoon/barrage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of installation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First operational on (if in planning, then please enter foreseen grid connection date)</td>
<td>dd/mm/yy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output in megawatts per turbine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turbines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collision mitigation</td>
<td>No/ Yes, please specify:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B. None in Scotland

4.5) The perceived level of risk to favourable conservation status (FCS), i.e. is the pressure increasing, decreasing, staying the same or unknown:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy type</th>
<th>Status 2016 relative to previous years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind energy</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave power</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal energy</td>
<td>Increasing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal lagoon/barrage</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6) Any notable instances/issues in the reporting period

Tidal lagoon/barrage has been set as ‘unchanged’ because no projects have currently been implemented. There is the world’s first tidal lagoon project planned for Swansea Bay, Wales; the current plan is to start construction in 2018 and the project will take 4 years of construction with some power being generated from year 3. Therefore, the future risk is ‘increased’ due to planned projects for the future.

4.7) How the pressure is being managed, incl. relevant regulations / guidelines and the year of implementation (current and planned)

The marine licensing system is governed by the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009, which has been in force since 2011. Under this Act, a marine licence is required for activities which involve a deposit or removal of a substance or object in the UK marine area, works or improvements at sea, or the use of explosives at sea. Installations >100 MW capacity are considered ‘nationally significant infrastructure projects’, and are subject to procedures outlined in the Planning Act 2008 which are intended to facilitate the approval of such
projects. Marine licensing is implemented independently by each of the devolved
governments in the UK.

In the UK, the Habitat Regulations represent the transposition of the EU Birds Directive
in to UK law via the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007,
which are applicable in the area beyond territorial waters (12 nm to 200 nm). Within the
territorial waters, the relevant competent authority (DAERA in Northern Ireland) is required to
assess whether a project will have a significant effect on a designated European site or
species (individually, and cumulatively with other projects).

4.8 ) Relevant new research/work/collaboration

Queen’s University Belfast (QUB) have a number of research projects in relation to marine
renewable energy:

- Coordinators of a H2020 grant entitled Powerkite, where QUB main role is
  assessing the environmental interactions of the Deep Green Technology
developed by Minesto
- TTT3 project (Testing Tidal Turbines) funded by Invest Northern Ireland
  investigation the performance, optimum position for arrays and provide
  accurate data for validation of numerical models
- QUB are part of MaRINET (Marine Renewables Infrastructure Network) which
  offers companies free-of-charge access to R&D facilities and expertise
- QUB part of the H2020 funding for 6MW Demotide project which is aimed at
demonstrating the technical and commercial viability of drilled foundation
systems

C. Habitat Change and Degradation (incl. potential physical impacts)

8. Unexploded Ordnance

8.1) To which registers/databases covering conventional and chemical munitions has your
country contributed to date?

OSPAR

Other, please state:

None.

Unknown.

8.2) Please fill in table 8.2 (below) on unexploded ordnance, which except for the last four
additional columns is the same as the OSPAR one. For explanation of terms see
http://www.ascobans.org/sites/default/files/document/AC22_Inf_4.6.c(OSPAR_MunitionsRec
2010.pdf

The only projects we are certain has detonated in 2016 is Race Bank (about 30+), Rampion
(1) and Thames Tideway Tunnel. Re TTT these detonations would have been very far
inshore (one was by London Bridge).

8.3) The perceived level of risk that unexploded ordnance and the management thereof is
posing to the favourable conservation status (FCS) of small cetaceans, i.e. is the pressure
increasing, decreasing, staying the same or unknown.
Increasing due to UXO clearance that wind developers do.

8.4) Any notable instances/issues in the reporting period.

It is difficult to manage because the licenses often request a much higher number of that what will actually be detonated.

8.5) How is the pressure being managed, incl. relevant regulations/guidelines and the year of implementation (current and planned)

Through marine mammal mitigation protocols, and through limitation of numbers, frequencies and timings of explosions in some cases.

8.6) Relevant new research/work/collaboration

D. Management of Cumulative Impacts

15. Marine Spatial Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan(s) in force</th>
<th>The MMO prepares marine plans for England on behalf of the Defra Secretary of State and, thereby, on behalf of HMG. The East Marine Plan was adopted in April 2014 and provides clarity to decision makers and developers regarding the suite of sectors and considerations set out in the Marine Policy Statement (MPS). These include those listed in the attached request.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plan(s) in preparation</td>
<td>The Draft South Marine Plan is due to be submitted for adoption in summer 2017. The adoption of the south plan has been delayed due to the purdah period surrounding the general election. The remaining plans - north east, north west, south east and south west - are being prepared concurrently for adoption by 2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further information, including links to online resources and maps where available</td>
<td>The Marine Information System (MIS) displays the appropriate marine policy documents for all of England’s marine plan areas in an accessible online, quick reference format. <a href="http://mis.marinemanagement.org.uk">http://mis.marinemanagement.org.uk</a> In an area absent of an adopted marine plan, the MMO has a tool that allows you to view a range of spatial data that make up the marine planning evidence base which will be considered in the marine planning process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section VII: Other Matters

A. Other information or comments important for the Agreement

N/A

B. Difficulties in implementing the Agreement

N/A
Table 8.2 on Unexploded Ordnance (taken from OSPAR reporting format, with additional four columns at the end)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OSPAR Ref. No</th>
<th>Latitude WGS 84</th>
<th>Longitude WGS 84</th>
<th>Nature of Encounter</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type of munition</th>
<th>Action taken</th>
<th>State of munition (corrosion)</th>
<th>Release, Destruction Latitude WGS 84</th>
<th>Release, Destruction Longitude WGS 84</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Depth of explosion</th>
<th>Estimated net weight of explosive material of demolished UXO</th>
<th>Demolition charge: net weight of explosive material added</th>
<th>Observations during explosion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Degree decimal to 4 places</td>
<td>Degree decimal to 4 places</td>
<td>Diving, Dredging, Entanglement in Nets, Found on shore, Laying pipeline/s or cables, mine hunting, other</td>
<td>dd/mmm/yy</td>
<td>Chemical, Firebomb, Conventional, unknown</td>
<td>Destroyed/blasted, Destroyed/other method, Released at Sea, Disposed of on land, Unknown</td>
<td>Heavily corroded, Partly corroded, Good condition, Unknown</td>
<td>Degree decimal to 4 places</td>
<td>Degree decimal to 4 places</td>
<td>Negative for west of Greenwich</td>
<td>Text</td>
<td>Meters On Seafloorraised</td>
<td>TNT equivalent in kg</td>
<td>TNT equivalent in kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>